## organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

### Deepak Chopra,<sup>a</sup>\* T. P. Mohan<sup>b</sup> and B Vishalakshi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, Karnataka, India, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Bangalore 574 199, India

Correspondence e-mail: deepak@sscu.iisc.ernet.in

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 290 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.046 wR factor = 0.128 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# 1-Acetyl-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-(4-fluoro-3-phenoxyphenyl)-1*H*-pyrazole

In the title compound,  $C_{23}H_{18}ClFN_2O_2$ , the dihedral angles between the pyrazole ring and the attached chlorophenyl ring, the planar acetyl group and the fluorobenzene ring of the phenoxyphenyl unit are 3.3 (1), 5.2 (2) and 74.9 (1)°, respectively. The crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular C–  $H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds and a short  $Cl \cdots F$  contact.

#### Comment

Some pyrazoles are known to possess considerable antimicrobial, antiviral, antitumour, anti-inflammatory, antihistaminic and phytotoxic activities (Mahajan *et al.*, 1991; Janus *et al.*, 1999; Katayama & Oshiyama, 1997; Badawey & El-Ashmawey, 1998; Mishra *et al.*, 1998; Bernard *et al.*, 1985). As part of our ongoing interest in such compounds (Gloe *et al.*, 2000), we report here the structure of the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1, Table 1).



The pyrazole ring of (I) is essentially planar, with a maximum deviation from the ring plane of 0.185 (2) Å for atom C13. The chlorophenyl substituent subtends an angle of 3.3 (1)° to this plane, while the fluorobenzene ring of the phenoxyphenyl unit is inclined at an angle of 74.9 (1)°. There is some evidence for delocalization in the pyrazole ring: while the C13–N1 bond distance corresponds to a single bond, the N1–N2 bond is short and compares well with similar values reported in the literature (Hökelek, Kilic & Hayvali, 2002; Hökelek, Kilic, Isikalan *et al.*, 2002).

In the crystal structure, C–H···O intermolecular interactions form molecular chains along the crystallographic screw axis and in the *c*-glide plane (Fig. 2, Table 2). Furthermore, a short Cl1···F1 contact [3.231 (2) Å; symmetry code  $(-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2})$ ] provides additional stability (Fig. 2).

#### Experimental

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Single crystals of compound (I) (Mohan, 2006) were grown by slow evaporation of a solution in acetone at 275–277 K.

Received 24 May 2006 Accepted 29 May 2006



#### Figure 1

A view of the title compound, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been omitted.



#### Figure 2

The C-H···O interactions and the Cl···F short contact in the crystal structure (dotted lines). Other H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Z = 4

 $D_r = 1.338 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

Block, colourless  $0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\mu = 0.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 290 (2) K

Crystal data

C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>18</sub> ClFN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	
$M_r = 408.84$	
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	
a = 14.577 (10)  Å	
b = 9.342 (6) Å	
c = 14.994 (10) Å	
$\beta = 96.237 \ (11)^{\circ}$	
$V = 2030 (2) \text{ Å}^3$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.894, T_{\max} = 0.941$ 

15482 measured reflections 4216 independent reflections 3210 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int}=0.020$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.3^\circ$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0612P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	+ 0.4522P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.128$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
4216 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
334 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

F1-C8	1.356 (2)	N2-N1	1.384 (2)
D2-C4	1.377 (2)	N1-C16	1.360 (3)
D1-C16	1.215 (2)	N1-C13	1.466 (2)
C4-O2-C7 D1-C16-N1	118.97 (14) 119.7 (2)	N1-C16-C17	116.77 (18)
C19-C18-C15-C14 C10-C11-C13-C14	-3.8 (3) 96.6 (2)	C13-N1-C16-C17	175.10 (18)

#### Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C13-H13\cdotsO1^{i}$ $C22-H22\cdotsO1^{ii}$	0.96 (2) 0.90 (2)	2.55 (2) 2.50 (2)	3.385 (3) 3.309 (3)	146 (2) 150 (2)
	1 1	1	1 1	

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

The H atoms on the methyl atom C17 were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on the parent atom, with C-H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ . The remaining H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The refined C-H bond lengths are in the range 0.87 (3)-0.97 (2) Å.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1999) and CAMERON (Watkin et al., 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2003).

We thank Professor T. N. Guru Row, Indian Institute of Science, and the Department of Science and Technology, for data collection on the CCD facility under the IRHPA-DST scheme. DC thanks CSIR, India, for a Junior Research Fellowship.

#### References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343-350.

Badawey, E. & El-Ashmawey, I. M. (1998). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 33, 349-362. Bernard, A., Cocco, M. T., Maccioni, A. & Plumitallo, A. (1985). Farmaco Ed. Sci. 40, 259-271.

Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.628) and SAINT (Version 6.45a). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

- Gloe, K., Uzoukwu, B.A., Rademacher & O. (2000). Acta Cryst, C56, e580– e581.
- Hökelek, T., Kilic, Z. & Hayvali, M. (2002). Anal. Sci. 18, 495-496.
- Hökelek, T., Kilic, Z., Isikalan, M. & Hayvali, M. (2002). Anal. Sci. 18, 215-216.
- Janus, S. L., Magdif, A. Z., Erik, B. P. & Claus, N. (1999). Monatsh. Chem. 130, 1167–1174.
- Katayama, H. & Oshiyama, T. (1997). Can. J. Chem. 75, 913-919.
- Mahajan, R. N., Havaldar, F. H. & Fernandes, P. S. (1991). J. Indian Chem. Soc. 68, 245–246.
- Mishra, P. D., Wahidullah, S. & Kamat, S. Y. (1998). Indian J. Chem. B, **37**, 199–200.
- Mohan, T. P. (2006). PhD Thesis, Mangalore University.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS (Version 2.03). University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Watkin, D. J., Pearce, L. J. & Prout, C. K. (1993). *CAMERON*. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.